

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 STEVEN FLOYD VOSS,

3:14-cv-00066-RCJ-WGC

7 Plaintiff,

ORDER

8 v.

9 ISIDRO BACA, et. al.,

10 Defendants.
11

12 Before the court is Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendant's: Amended Notice of
13 Acceptance of Service (Docket # 115)¹; and Notice of Joinder of Defendant William Humphrey
14 (Docket # 116), as Redundant and Impertinent Matter. (Doc. # 119.)

15 Plaintiff asserts that the Amended Notice of Acceptance of Service by the Attorney
16 General's Office on behalf of defendant William Humphrey is redundant because they have
17 already filed a cross-motion to dismiss on behalf of all defendants, including Humphrey, and
18 they already filed an amended notice of acceptance of service. (Doc. # 119.) He further argues
19 that the notice of joinder (Doc. # 116) of Humphrey to Defendants' answer and all pending
20 dispositive motions is redundant because they already accepted service on behalf of Humphrey.
21 (*Id.*)

22 On September 26, 2014, the Attorney General's Office filed an amended notice of
23 acceptance of service indicating that it was accepting service on behalf of Humphrey. (Doc.
24 # 65.) On the same day, an answer was filed on behalf of all defendants, including Humphrey.
25 (Doc. # 66.) The opposition to Plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment was filed on
26 behalf of all defendants, including Humphrey. (Doc. # 73.) The cross-motion to dismiss was also
27

28

¹ Refers to court's docket number.


1 filed on behalf of all defendants, including Humphrey. (Doc. # 74.) A reply in support of the
2 cross-motion to dismiss was filed on behalf of all defendants including Humphrey. (Doc. # 89.)

3 On May 4, 2015, despite having already filed an amended notice of acceptance of service
4 on behalf of Humphrey, the Attorney General's Office filed another such notice. (Doc. # 115.)
5 On the same date, despite all of the above-referenced documents being filed on behalf of all
6 defendants, including Humphrey, the Attorney General's Office filed a joinder of Humphrey to
7 those documents. (Doc. # 116.)

8 As such, the court agrees with Plaintiff that Docs. # 115 and # 116 are redundant;
9 therefore, Plaintiff's motion (Doc. # 119) is **GRANTED** and Docs. # 115 and # 116 are hereby
10 **STRICKEN**.

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12 Dated: May 19, 2015.

13 
14 WILLIAM G. COBB
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28